

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,917	12/27/2001	Akira Sugiyama	450100-03653	8054	
20999	7590 02/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			ARTHUR JEANGLA	ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2144		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/200	DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/032,917	SUGIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 L	December 2001.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	, —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers		,				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 32A to 32C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zick et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,774,593) in view of Ohnishi (U.S. Patent No. 6,320,710).

As to claims 1,9, Zick et al. disclose a data processor for processing a data stream in which a plurality of blocks (slots; See fig.10)) into which a screen is divided are arranged in a predetermined order (see col. 3, lines 40-45), the data processor comprising: detecting means for detecting block discontinuities based on position

information of the blocks on the screen (See Fig.8 #92), the position information being stored in each of the blocks arranged in the data stream (See Fig.9A); Though an averaging technique or analysis technique as disclosed in the abstract can be used for correcting block discontinuities, Zick et al. fail to specifically disclose correcting means for correcting block discontinuities based on a result of the detection by the detecting

means. In an analogous art, Ohnishi discloses a correcting means (210; as shown in

detecting mean (See col.4, lines 2-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Zick et al. with that of

Fig. 2) for correcting block discontinuities based on a result of the detection by the

Page 3

Ohnishi by having a correcting means in order to appropriately correct mark patterns.

As to claims 2, 10, Zick et al. disclose a data processor wherein the data stream is a variable-length coded data stream (the video slot or video frame is considered to have variable length or frame), and block discontinuities are detected by the detecting

means when the variable-length coded data stream is decoded (See Fig. 9A #106).

As to claims 3, 11, Zick et al. disclose a processor but fail to specifically disclose the correcting means. In an analogous art, Ohnishi discloses the correcting means corrects block discontinuities using a block having the position information that satisfies the continuous order (data reproduction) (See col.3, lines 59-63; col. 4, lines 2-11).). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Zick et al. with that of Ohnishi by having a correcting means in order to appropriately correct mark patterns.

Art Unit: 2144

As to claims 4, 12. Zick et al. disclose a data processor wherein if it is determined from a result of the detection by the detecting means that a portion of the blocks is missing, the correcting means corrects block discontinuities by temporarily interrupting the data stream for the period of the missing block portion.

As to claims 5, 13, Zick et al. disclose a data processor, wherein, if it is determined from a result of the detection by the detecting means that one block and another of the blocks are exchanged (See Fig. 9A, 104) but fails to specifically disclose the correcting means. In an analogous art, Ohnishi discloses the correcting means finds position information of the block subsequent to an exchanged block, and, based on the position information, repeatedly corrects block discontinuities until a block having correct position information is found (See col. 4, lines 5-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Zick et al. with that of Ohnishi by having a correcting means in order to appropriately correct mark patterns.

As to claims 6, 14, Zick et al. disclose a data processor, further comprising a frame (See abstract) memory capable of storing at least one frame of data, wherein at least one frame of data in the data stream is stored in the frame memory, and, it is determined from a result of the detection by the detecting means that the position information of the blocks is discontinuous, (See col. 4, lines 29-47) but fails to disclose the correcting means. In an analogous art, Ohnishi discloses the correcting means corrects the position information discontinuities of the blocks using the data stored in the frame memory (see Fig.4, 6; col. 2, lines 48-59). It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Zick et al. with that of Ohnishi by having a correcting means in order to appropriately correct mark patterns.

As to claims 7-8,15-16, Zick et al. disclose that if it is determined from a result of the detection by the detecting means that a portion of the blocks is missing (See fig.9A), but fails to specifically disclose a correcting means. In an analogous art, Ohnishi disclose the correcting means corrects block discontinuities using the data of the block one frame before which corresponds to the missing block portion, the data being stored in the frame memory (306) and the correcting means correctly reorders the blocks by controlling addresses in the frame memory. (See col. 7, lines 33-48; col. 10, lines 4-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Zick et al. with that of Ohnishi by having a correcting means in order to appropriately correct mark patterns.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rackett (U.S. Patent No. 6,282,322)

Blonstein et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,664,028)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/032,917

Art Unit: 2144

(571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30

a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (571) 272-3925. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

February 14, 2005

GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDE

Page 6

DOIMARY FYAMINER